19 23

W. 66

Department of L.R. & Elect.

OFFICE Bd. of Rev

STATION Madras

Misc. **No.** 322

Dated 10.2.1923

A.2881

	Date	Initial.
Given for copying		
Copied		
Examined		
Signed		
Given for despatch	5	
Despatched	16.2	
Indexed		
To be destroyed on		

Tr[illegible]om sent

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Jurisdiction (S. Kanara) - Reduction of divisions and taluks - No change. G.O. Comm?

* Not to be filled in the case of permanent records

Back Paper.

Dis.	No.	Department. / Series.	Date.	Dis.	No.	Department. / Series.	Date.

Further Papers.

Dis.	No.	Department. / Series.	Date.	Dis.	No.	Department. / Series.	Date.

B O A R D O F R E V E N U E.
Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras

M. E. Couchman Esq., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Refce., No. A. 1743/22-1 dated 26th April 1922.

Read Memorandum (Revenue Dept) No. 1604-C/22-2 dated 11th April 22

Owing to financial stringency the Government desire that the question of redistributing the divisions within each district with a view to reduction should be examined carefully. The change in the relations between Madras and Chingleput owing to the creation of Incometax Commissionership is being considered separately The Collectors of Kistna, Godavari and Vizagapatam have been asked to consider the special problem affecting their districts. The Board is requested to call on the Collectors of all other districts to send a report on the constitution of the divisions within their respective districts giving the information asked for below in respect of each division and offering their suggestions for any possible reduction. The Board is requested to send on these reports individually as they are received from Collectors with any remarks or suggestions which they wish to offer.

1. Area 2. Population. 3. Number of taluks 4. Number of firkas. 5. Number of Government villages. 6. Number of Proprietary villages. 7. Land Revenue(Separately for Government and Proprietary villages) 8. Number of magisterial cases. 9. Number of appeals. 10. Number of Sub Magistrate's Courts. 11. Number of miscellaneous cases 12. Number of revenue suits under the Estates Land Act. 13. Number of Income-tax assessees. 14. Number of Income-tax appeals. 15. Number of currents and number of disposals in each of the registers in use in the office.

3. The information may be furnished for three calendar years 1919. 1920 and 1921.

3. The Government desire that similar enquiries should be made in respect of taluks. The Board of Revenue is re-

direct Collectors to report on their districts giving the information required below together with any suggestions for reduction of taluks.

(1) Area (2) Population (3) Number of firkas (4) Number of Government villages (5) Number of proprietary villages.

(6) Land Revenue for proprietary area. (7) Land Revenue for Government area (8) Whether there is a deputy tahsildar.

(9) Whether the tahsildar has any magisterial charge; if so statistics of magisterial work.

(10) Minor Irrigation works.

Incometax cases.

(11) Number of cases decided by tahsildar. (12) Number of cases reported to other officers. (13) Number of darkhast cases. (14) Transfer of patta

T r e a s u r y.

(15) Number of chellan receipts. (16) Number of vouchers for disbursements (17) Total receipts and disbursements

A b k a r i.

(18) Number of toddy shops. (19) Number of arrack shops.

(20) Correspondence.

(By order)

V. Ponnayya,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement.

Reference.

Jurisdiction- Redistribution of divisions and taluks Report called for.

Communicated to the Collectors of all districts except

Madras

Madras, Chingleput, Kistna, Godavari and Vizagapatam.

2. They are requested to submit the report called for by Government on the question of redistribution of divisions and taluks in their districts as early as possible.

for Secretary.

To

The Collectors of all districts except Madras, Chingleput Kistna, Godavari and Vizagapatam.

Copy to the Collectors of Madras, Chingleput, Kistna, Godavari and Vizagapatam.

J.G.

No. 2658-RL-V2

Office of the Collector of S.Kanara

Station Mangalore

Date 29th July 1922.

A30440

From

M.R.Ry. A. Ramacha Nedungadi, Avl., B.A.,B.L.
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue and Settlement,
Madras.

Sir,

Board's reference No.A 1743/22-1, dated 26-4-22
Jurisdiction - Redistribution of divisions and taluks.

***

I submit herewith two statements of statistics - called for by the Board - one in respect of the divisions and the other in respect of the taluks in this - district.

2. The Board further calls for suggestions for any possible reduction and consequent re-distribution of the divisions and taluks. I shall deal with the case of divisions first. None of the Divisional Officers is of opinion that any reduction is possible. The Coondapoor Division already consists of 3 taluks covering an area of 1604 square miles. The Puttur Division is -- already unweildy with an area of 2,001 square miles. - Hence the Mangalore Division which is the smallest in point of area being only 412 square miles in extent -- cannot be added to either the Puttur or the Coondapoor Division without making the amalgamated division too - bulky for one officer to manage. Perhaps it may be - said that the area alone is no good criterion, as the Puttur Division includes a large extent of reserved -- forest and one taluk of it is served by the railway, but it must be noted that the extent of this division

L 13 (R)
- 30 -

even after excluding the reserved forests which are only 438 square miles is almost equal to the Coondapoor Division, and that the taluk served by the Railway is only the coastal taluk inhabited by the troublesome and --- litigious moplas. It is also to be observed that the -- Mangalore Division though small is by itself sufficiently heavy, including, as it does, the Mangalore Municipality. The Criminal work in this division is heavier than that in the Coondapoor Division and the Incometax work greater than in the other two divisions put together. The ---- Correspondence work is also not in any way lighter than that in the other divisions. The Divisional Officer, Mangalore, says that from thepoint of view of efficiency, despatch of work and public convenience, the amalgamation of Mangalore and Puttur divisions would be false economy. I am also of the opinion that it is not possible to make any reduction in the divisions.

3. Turning now to the case of taluks, the only one that can be thought of for abolition is the Karkal taluk which was only created in 1912. The reasons which led up to the formation of this taluk and which are summed up in Mr.Moscardi's letter printed in G.O.No.1774, Revenue, -- dated 15-6-12 are (1) to relieve the Mangalore and Udipi Tahsildars and (2) to bring about a more even distribution of work between the Divisional Officers of Mangalore and Coondapoor. The work of the Mangalore Tahsildar has already become heavy owing to the abolition of the Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Mulky and the Divisional Officer, Coondapoor remarks that the Tahsildar of Mangalore will probably find it impossible to manage the work of the -- taluk if the Karkal Taluk is abolished and the 62 villages of it are re-transferred to Mangalore Taluk. Even considering the Karkal Taluk by itself, it cannot be said that there is not enough work for the Tahsildar there. The - statistics for the last 3 years show that the darkhast work there is heavier than in Coondapoor and Uppinangady Taluks, and that the Kudtala and other Revenue work is not

Mr 22-26 (S)

P.P 58 Mis 12-1-22

A 2 (P)

-2- 6

light as compared with the Uppinangady Taluk. For all these reasons, I do not think that any reduction in the existing taluks is possible.

Collector.

29.7.

Jurisdiction - S. Kanara - Reduction of Divisions and Taluks - Proposals. Further information called for —

Read A 3040/22 — Q.

Refee A 3040/22-1 dt 24-8-22

The Colln is requested to report at the very early date if there is a Dy Tahr with magisterial powers as well as a Town Sub magistrate at Mangalore and if so whether the post of the latter could not be abolished as there is a 1st class Bench Court there.

S.V.
SN
25.8.22

Colln of S. Kanara BN 28.8.22 H 23.8.22 — Hsr 24-8-22

A

Particulars	Divisional Officer, Mangalore			Divisional Officer, [illegible]			Divisional Officer, Coondapur		
	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920		1919	1920	1921
Area in acres	-	-	263941	-		1215	-	-	102660
Population	-	-	303593	-		49	-	-	488904
Number of Taluks.	one	one	one	Two	Two	-	Three	[illegible]	Three
Number of Firkas	four	four	seven	eight	Eight	5	Ten	Ten	fourteen
No of Government villages	180	180	180	296	296		323	323	323
No of proprietary villages	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
Area (1) For Government villages.	5'34'1011	5'39955	504351	946960	946780	2	1175439	1173870	1175597
(2) For proprietary villages	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
No of Magisterial Cases	71	72	81	91	103		63	49	40
No of appeals	114	53	47	32	96		103	49	50
No of Sub Magisterial Courts	5	5	5	4	4		3	3	3
No of Civil Cases	23	11	13	21	16		11	20	13
No of Revenue Sale & Estates Land Act	-	-	-	-	-		2		
No of Income tax assessees	136	218	228	73	71		84	63	67
No of Income tax appeals	16	11	10	18	36		11	10	3
No of Civil suits.	6755	7103	6369	7369	7735	642	7308	7402	6644
No of disposals. i.e. no of papers entered in the disposal register including Estd. & civil suits	1497	1365	1230	1599	1525	1012	2090	1865	1391

Mangalore
29.7.21

Accountant General
Madras

Particulars.	Mangalore Taluk			Kasaragod Taluk			Office
	1919	1920	1921	1919.	1920	1921	1919
1. Area.	acres -	acres -	acres 263941	-		487597	-
2. Population	205474	205474	303593	247467	247467	256931	10311
3. No of firkas	4	4	7	4	4	6	1
4. No of Govt villages	100	100	100	114	114	114	102
5. No of proprietary villages	—	-	-	.		-	
6. Land Revenue from — do	.	.		.		-	
7. Land Rev for Govt area	534104	539955	504351	504130	508241	506643	462031
8. Whether there is a Deputy Tahsildar	2 Dy. Tahrs.	2 Dy. Tahrs	2 Dy Tahsildars	one		one	one
9. Whether the Tahsildar has any magl. charge. If so statistics of Magl work.	Nil	Nil	Nil	.			
10. Minor irrigation works	—	-	-	.		Yes for 10-1-21 First class works Canoe disposals 5	Yes. Co
11. No of L.T. Cases decided by Tahs	168	114	114	57	39	47	126
12. reported to other officers	29	48	14	73	21	60	36
13. No of darkhast Cases	1409	1382	1331	879	802	1088	496
14. Transfer of patta	1653	1706	1750	1557	1501	1586	980
15. No Chalan receipts	7879	7983	8372	8496	9057	10119	8203
16. No of Vr for disbursement	307	307	336	2047	2643	2164	5061
17. Total ₹ Receipts	1096792	1101997	1145210	1073902	1154470	1102611	1101170
₹ Disbursements	1099293	1178134	1140649	1072337	1098207	1194442	1100290
18. No of Toddy shops.	148	147	146	133	132	129	129
19 No of arrack shops.	93	90	89	44	44	44	94
20. Correspondence Current	14716	14057	12098	10362	10553	11283	10299
disposals	1475	1592	1345	1779	1560	1099	1245

ii. number of papers entered in the disposal registers excluding Diaries, Lodges, Abstracts & Papers.

Taluk	Udupi Taluk			Coondapur Taluk			Karkal Taluk		
1921	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920	1921	1919	1920	
793165	-		220186	-	-	396109	-	-	4
193799	201699	201699	209909	139599	139599	139850	133106	133106	1
7	4	4	5	3	3	4	3	3	
182	15	115	115	103	103	103	105	105	
							-	-	
435555	471026	468774	470476	370305	370074	379007	326100	327030	3
one	no	no	no	no	no	no			
yes 14 Cases	yes 28	yes- 6 -	yes- 4 -	no	no	no	-	-	
							-	-	
17	53	44	25	36	22	21	16	16	
15	4	6	2		3		12	17	
581	869	1009	864	205	303	602	900	763	9
709	1486	1677	1697	1352	1363	1101	779	765	7
936	7176	8271	7540	5315	5422	5449	5305	5694	5
62.1	4710	5618	5447	3954	3076	3907	2747	3248	3
1154497	1240902	1227701	1217030	735020	801041	819677	502691	570113	6
113106	150030	1230665	1226461	757961	796645	815524	570500	603214	6
127	75	74	74	50	49	40	02	00	
89	40	40	40	37	37	37	59	59	
10066	12657	15275	12996	7501	7927	7401	10079	11034	1
1075	1526	1411	1180	1238	1109	1005	1406	1142	

Mangalore
27.7.22

Asst. Collr.

C.F. 22 1-20.

No. 5327 - 22-1 OFFICE OF THE Collector of South K

A 3524 STATION Mangalore,

Date 27th August 1922.

BOARD OF REVENUE
30 AUG 1922
REVENUE SETTLEMENT

From

M.R.Ry. A. Ramacha Nedungadi, Avl., B.A.,B.L.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue and Settlement,
Madras.

SIR,

Board's Reference No. A.3040-22-1, dated 24-8-1922. Jurisdiction - South Kanara - Reduction of Divisions and Taluks - Proposals - Further information furnished.

There is no Deputy Tahsildar with Magisterial powers

at Mangalore. There is only a Stationary Sub Magistrate.

Collector.

ADDL. SECY. TO GOVT.
28 AUG. 1922

FOR MEMBER

3 P.M 10/9

Jurisdiction (S. Kanara)-Reduction of divisions and taluks-Proposals submitted.

READ AGAIN B.P., No. _____ dated _____ 192

" " " _____ " _____ 192

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, From the Govt. R. D. Memorandum No 1604-C22-2 dated 26-4-22. 192 Q

" " A.3040/22 " Collr. of S. Kanara. D. Dis! 2658-22-V.2. 29-7-22. 192 . A (with encls.)

" " _____ " " _____ " _____ 192 .

Reference.

RESOLUTION. No. A-3040-22-1, dated 13-9- 1922.

Submitted to Government.

2. Divisions. The areas of Coondapur and Puttur are fairly large and travelling is difficult. To add an additional area of either division would seem to be out of the question. Apart from the question of area, the work in Mangalore is heavy and at least as heavy as the other two divisions. I.T. work is much heavier than in the other two divisions; Magisterial work is also as heavy as in Puthur and heavier than in Coondapur; correspondence is almost the same in all the three divisions. Further if the T.D.C. ceases to be under the Collr., as may happen if treasuries are taken over by the Audit Deptt., it will be necessary to have either a head-quarters division or else a P.A. and little would be gained by the reduction even if it was possible from

It is much the easiest division in respect of I.T. work & the magisterial work is heavier than that of Coondapur & approximately equal to that of Puttur

There is not much difference in the volume of correspondence in each of the three divisions. Moreover the reduction of the number of divisions would not effect any considerable saving.

~~other points of view.~~

Taluks. From the statistics given by the Collr. no reduction appears possible ~~under~~ in the number of taluks, as the abolition of Karkal would make Udipi and Mangalore too heavy. Incidentally Mulki deputy tahsildar's office has already been abolished (vide G.O. No. P. 80 Rev. Dy 10.1.1922) and this has added to the work of the Mangalore taluk.

~~Under~~ In these circumstances the Board considers that no reduction of taluks ~~and~~ or divisions would be feasible in this district.

(K) Now back up

9.9.22 11.9.22

12.9.22

To the Secy. to Govt., R.D.

SW 16.9.22

Deeper
Please show me enclosure before despatch

13/9/22

Sectt. II-26 (a)—55,258—23-1-22.

A 801

BOARD OF REVENUE MADRAS — 9 FEB 1923 — LAND REVENUE & SETTLEMENT

Received 192 .

Registered 192 .

Abstract.

Jurisdiction (South Kanara) - Reduction of divisions and taluks - No change.— G.O. Comm^d. A.801- A.

Res^n (Mis) 322 10.2.23.

Communicated to the Coll: of S. Kanara with ref^e to the correspondence ending with his D.Sct. letter Dis No 5327-22-1/28 27.8.'22.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 242 Mis dated 8th February 1923. 192 .

ENCLOSURES.

No

To the Coll: of S. Kanara.

READ the following papers:—

From the Board of Revenue Land Revenue and Settlement,

Ref. No. A. 3040-22 dated 13th September 1922.

ORDER.

The Government concur with the Board of Revenue in the view that no change is feasible in the South Kanara District.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

E.W. Legh,

Secretary to Government.

To

The Board of Revenue (Land Revenue and Settlement).

(By order)

S. Raghavaiyangar 8.2.23.

Superintendent.

16

M1
S/
As

SUBJECT. Jurisdiction - Reduction of Divisions and Taluks - S. Kanara — Proposals.

From

A 1743/22. — Pp 1-2 Cf
Office of 26-4-22 — Pp 2-3 Cf
Register No. A 3040/22 — Pp 4-6 Cf

To A.S.

The Coll of S. Kanara has subd proposals regarding the reduction of divisions and taluks in the district

Divisions.

So far as area is Concerned Mangalore is the only light division with an area of about 415 Sq. miles. The other two divisions viz, Coondapur and Puttur are rather extensive as the former has an area of about 1605 Sq. miles and

It appears only light in area

fmc 16.8.22

P 13(R)

the latter 2001 S.J. miles;
Mr. Meyer thought that the only Dn. wh. has a claim for relief was Mulla
These will become more
unwieldy if any portion
of the Bangalore Dn is
added to them. ~~Sitting~~ it
stands the criminal and ~~the D.T.~~ Ht. D
the D.T. work in the Dn are
fairly heavy and the cor-
respondence work does not
also compare very unfavourably
with that in other Dns. Further
if and when the treasuries
are transferred to the
control of the A.G. the Coll
will lose the assistance
of his Treasury deputy in
looking after the routine
matters pertaining to the
Collectorate, and if the
Head Quarters Dy Coll is
also abolished there will
be none to do such
work while the Coll is on
tour. There are three
Divisions in the district
and the abolition of any
one will render the other

tion is possible. Boundaries

Talukas

The statistics furnished show that the Karkal Tk is a comparatively light charge judged by its number of villages, population land revenue demand etc. But the taluk was created in 1912 to give relief to the Tahr of Mangalore and Udipi further the Dy Tahr at Mulky was recently abolished and the work of the Tahr of Mangalore has consequently increased. Hence no more villages of the Karkal Tk can be placed under his charge or else ~~increase the cost of~~ loss of efficiency. Nor can any addition be made to the Udipi Tk as it was also to give relief to that Taluk the Karka

P 2 (P)

(V) & (S)

BB 58 Mis (K)
12-1-22

Considering the peculiar physical features of the district it is perhaps undesirable to reduce the number of Taluk Charges since the Collr is left in favour of any reduction. For orders.
The Coln has not said anything abn
But the possible reduction of 2 Talukdars
Govt
14/8/44 2nd 15/8/44

VA 8/8/44

Divisions.

No reduction appears possible.
The area of Coondapur and Puttur is very fairly large and travelling is I believe difficult. To add an additional area to either Divn including the headquarters Town is out of the question.
Apart fron the question of area the work in Mangalore is quite heavy and at least as heavy as in the other two Divns.
I.T. work is much heavier than in either Coondapur or Puttur.
Magl. work is also as heavy as Puttur and heavier than Coondapur.
Correspondence is about equal in the three Divns.
Further as pointed out by the office if the T.D.C. ceases to be under the Collector as may happen if Treasuries are

it will be necessary to have a headquarters Divn or else a P.A. and little would be gained by the reduction even if it was possible from other points of view.

Taluks

No reduction appears possible under Taluks from Statistics given as the abolition of Karkal would make Udipi and Mangalore too heavy.

Incidentally Mulki D.T. has already been abolished and this has added to the work of the Mangalore taluk.

Govt. may be informed as above.

I agree with the above, but am inclined to think that if there is still a Town S.M. as well as a Taluk S.M. the Town S.M. is bound to abolished, as per Board Cover. Ask Coll. about this.

8.Dec.22

S

To

A.S

The latest statement of fixed Mgts received from the Coll shows that there is no Taluk Sub magistrate (it is presumed that a Dy Tahr is meant) besides a Town Sub magistrate at Mangalore. It seems unnecessary to

Mgl

16.8.22

16 (G) - Since put up for another Current

2. It is also submitted for orders whether this file & similar files should be subd to the Full Board. The practice generally is to submit the question relating to redistribution of Divs, Dts etc to the Full Board although such a direction is not prescribed in the notification relating to the Conduct of Business by the Board of Revenue

DW 19.8.22 DW 19.8.22 DW 1987

(L)

Sh.

There is only one S/S Sub. Dist for Srirangam Taluk

Please see case - if there is a Dy Tah. with Magl powers a will on a Town S.M., if so, whether the Town S.M. could not be abolished.

2. In case of this & Dist. Govt. Divs shall be circulated to the Full Board

yrs. MRL. 20.viii.22.

fdr

19.8.22

The file may now be circulated to M2 & M2 with reference to M1's order at p6 ante. A reference will be made to the C/H after the file is received from circulation.

BW
22.8.22

22.8.22

Take the reference

BW

file
23.8.22

To Secy

draft ruled at p7 c/s

BW. M
23.8.22

file
8.

M1

Issued

p7 c/s

A3040-22-1 & 26.8.22

H 35-24/22

To AS

The Coll reports that there is only a stationary sub-magistrate at Mangalore & that there is no dy Tahsildar

with Magisterial powers

there.

~~for orders~~

Report time the circulation is complete

(with a touring Map).

To Secretary.

Draft submitted.

for orders if the file with the draft have the circulated

O M2 O M3.

I do not see any objection

to proceed.

[N.B. Under these circumstances I had English Authorities to ... etc.]